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Although the intrinsic philosophy at the core of medical care has remained unchanged over the centuries, modern medicine is heavily dependent on pharmacological and technological advances. Effective medications, from analgesics and anesthetics to antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents, are continuously being developed and improved. The sophistication and reliability of ubiquitous medical equipment is also remarkable. The surgical specialties in particular, avail themselves to an immense array of products from small delicate and precise instruments to large, complex and costly equipment.

The building of this modern “medical edifice” has evolved though the convergence of, and the interaction between, countless medical and non-medical professionals in hospitals, universities, research centers and industrial settings. It continues to grow in scope and complexity, permitting ongoing exciting avenues for innovation and the improvement of existing procedures, devices and equipment. However, this multifaceted collaboration has also generated concerns, particularly of financial and, consequently of ethical nature, because the obligations of the involved parties can have potentially conflicting interests.(Refs) Recently, the interaction between physicians, universities, medical societies and the industry has come under increasing scrutiny from multiple sources, including the medical profession itself, the government and the public.(Refs)

To address these concerns and to clarify the relationships between the involved parties, many universities, professional medical organizations, as well as publishers of scientific journals have established comprehensive guidelines focusing primarily on conflict of interest (COI). Of particular relevance to the pediatric surgeon are the position statements of the American College of Surgeons (ACS) (Ref) and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). (Ref) Several other society publications also offer insightful guidance(Ref) and due to the often conflict in nature of these interactions, similar concerns are also echoed by industry and have prompted the drafting of guidelines for their members.(Ref)

Salient among the COI issue and directly related to the pediatric surgeon’s practice, is the topic of continuing medical education (CME) and continuing professional development (CPD). Indeed, two of the aims contained in the mission statement of the American Pediatric Surgical Association (APSA), are to “provide [content] rich user friendly venues for the dissemination of up-to-date knowledge” and “offer high quality CME to [its] members”.(Ref) Likewise, recently formulated strategic directions of APSA are to “support educational activities that assure maintenance of competence and continuing certification for pediatric surgeons throughout their careers”, “encourage the discovery and dissemination of new
Given the interdependence of surgical practice and technology, the speed of innovation and the limits imposed by available time, the most efficient opportunity for exchange of information between colleagues, other health care professionals and representatives of industry is the annual meeting of the association. Because such venues are costly, industrial firms have, over the years, helped defray some of the expenses in exchange for the opportunity to introduce new products and updates as well as supplemental information on established ones in the form of exhibits. A dialogue between the representatives of industry and surgeons, with other members of the health care team is invaluable in obtaining feed-back and assessing the need for new products in both established and new disciplines. The main concern raised by the critics of this practice is that the CME content of the meeting might be inappropriately influenced by industry supporting the activities. While this is certainly a valid argument, the guidelines already set forth by the ACS, AAP, and industry itself clearly outline the boundaries of such decisions (Refs). Program committees of major professional organizations in general, and APSA in particular, have been cognizant of these rules. Great care has been taken by APSA committees involved in educational activities to keep these completely independent of external influences that might introduce bias. The disclosure of COI is just one example of these guidelines being enforced and this scrutiny must be diligently followed going forward.

Two concepts that are of critical importance in the relationship between medical societies (as well as individual physicians) and industry (or other financially driven institutions), are transparency and accountability. As long as there is a mutual understanding between the association (with its defined mission) and industry (with its needs), the interactions can be clarified and unencumbered. With the understanding that surgeons are obligated to their patients and industry to its shareholders, the mutual respect of well-defined guidelines should lead to identification and, if necessary, resolution of the COI. The specialty of pediatric surgery will continue to be highly technology dependent, a fact shared by practically all other surgical fields. As the cost of developing, manufacturing, and updating this armamentarium requires resources well beyond that of most medical institutions, and because private sources and government funding are limited, a cooperative relationship of health care professionals, medical centers and medical societies with industry is in the best interest of all. However, as in other joint ventures involving common goals but accountable to dissimilar parties, openness and vigilance are necessary.

The American Pediatric Surgical Association, is fully committed to follow established guidelines of interaction with Industry, to monitor their implementation and to address instances of potential conflict of interest in a fair, balanced and ethically justifiable manner. The goal is to establish an ethical partnership based on transparency and accountability that leads to the development of innovative and improved approaches to the surgical care of children.
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